

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/367,244	08/10/1999	PIERGIORGIO BENUZZI	BUG2106	,4118
7	590 11/20/2006	EXAMINER		
FAY SHARP		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
FAGAN MINI 1100 SUPERIO	NICH & MCKEE OR AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 700		3724		
CLEVELAND	, OH 44114	•	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:									· ••••
_	t(£	1		<u></u> ',',','	· , · , · , · , · ,		\rightarrow	77	jalenie.
	de	"					•		
,		>i⊆	D/	3101	20	<u> </u>			
_				3101	NG	•			
Ī	:::		źέ			-:-::	.;.;.;.		
'n	ler	ici		ddr	e 33	-			
A									
ं									
e l	ممه	ad i	time	•					
Ja	te (af ti	ris (oom.	nuni	cetic	m.		
	§ 1:	33)							
9	any								
ं									
H									
ं									
H									
1	33	to	th	e m	en	ts i	S		
1	3.								
ं	Ĭ.								
ं									
Ė									
Ì									
Ė									
Ċ									
÷									
े									
H									
ं									
ं	O.E	,_							
٠.	85								
S	98	37	7 C	FR	1.1	21(d).		
				TO-					
	اد.			. ~	, ,				
i i									
! ::									
ं		•							
N	at	iO	nal	St	30A				
		:	::::						

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/367,244	BENUZZI, PIERGIORGIO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for repty specified above is tess than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for repty is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to repty within the set or extended period for repty will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	38(a). In no event, however, may a repl within the statutory minimum of thiny (dil apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTH- cause the application to become ABA!	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matter		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5.6.11.14.17 and 18</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>11.14.17 and 18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by trawing(s) be held in abeyance on is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in App ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Mtschment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Importation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		many (PTO-413) tall Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 7/21/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ess (4,392,401) in view of Uyema et al (5,571,325)and Ess (4,317,397).

Ess discloses (Fig. 8) the invention substantially as claimed including a horizontal table 12, at least one panel 20, a first movable device 15, a feed direction, a sawing device/a single lengthways cutting axis 10, rotation device (see Fig.5), a plurality of pickup elements 17, guides, drive means and vertical direction (see col.4, line 4-8). Ess doesn't show first drive means to move a first plurality of pickup elements in a horizontal direction independently of the other pickup elements and relative to the movable device, second drive means, a second movable device and a second feed direction. However, Uyema teaches the use of first drive means 114 to move pickup elements (150a-b) relative to the movable device in a horizontal direction independently of each other (Fig. 10-15), guide (201-202) and second drive means 116 for the purpose of decreasing the time required for processing a substrate. It would have been obvious

Art Unit: 3724

to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ess's movable device by providing first drive means to move pickup elements relative to the movable device in the horizontal direction independently of the other pickup elements, the guide and second drive means as taught by Uyema in order to decrease the time required for processing a panel and to easily modifying an order of processing a panel.

Regarding the second movable device and the second feed direction, the Ess'397 teaches the use of second movable device and a second feed direction for the purpose of increasing the production by reducing the time of processing the panel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ess's device by providing the second movable device and the second feed direction as taught by Ess'379 in order to increase the production by reducing the time of processing the panel.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim5 and 6 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Ess nor Ueyama do not show pickups elements moving relative to the movable device in the feed direction or opposite direction and bi-directional parallel to the feed direction. However, Ueyama teaches the pickups elements 150a-b moving relative to the movable device 115 in the feed direction Y or opposite direction X and bi-directional parallel to the feed direction (see Fig. 11-14).

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ofs

October 15, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER